

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-21 of this Application under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,219,328 to Schloegel et al. (hereinafter “Schloegel”).

Claims 1, 6, 10, 11, 13, and 14 have been amended.

Claim 3, 8-9, 19 have been canceled without prejudice.

All amendments are fully supported by the specification and no new matter has been added.

Reconsideration and allowance in view of the amendments and remarks is respectfully requested.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

A telephone interview was conducted on Monday, April 7, 2008. Examiner Chow and Applicant’s representative Chad Walsh and technical advisor Lam Doan attended the Interview. During the interview, the detailed description, claims, and prior art of record were discussed. Additionally, the Examiner stated for the first time that the claims were not allowable in light of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0216884 to Tehochiev. Claim amendments were discussed to overcome Tehochiev. Applicants were advised that the above amendments would place the Application in a better condition for allowance.

Applicants understanding is that the claims are rejected over new art that has not been yet made of record. Applicants contend that the above amendments overcome all prior art of record and the newly relied on prior art.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 408-244-6319.

Respectfully submitted,

/Chad R. Walsh,Reg#43235/

Chad R. Walsh
Reg. No. 43,235

FOUNTAINHEAD LAW GROUP P.C.
900 Lafayette Street, Suite 509
Santa Clara, CA 95050
Tel: 408-244-6319
CRW